

Message

---

**From:** Aubee, Catherine [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=18E81C76BBA145F1948ED5641919DEB1-AUBEE, CATHERINE]  
**Sent:** 7/11/2017 5:09:30 PM  
**To:** Sarraino, Stephanie [sarraino.stephanie@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Tobias, David [Tobias.David@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Comment/Question on GenX

Stephanie: Thank you! I'm collating comments now.

Best,  
Catherine

---

**From:** Sarraino, Stephanie  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 11, 2017 1:09 PM  
**To:** Aubee, Catherine <Aubee.Catherine@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Tobias, David <Tobias.David@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Comment/Question on GenX

Hi Catherine,

Have you already reached back out to NC with EPA feedback? If not, the only thing I might add is that they may want to consider estimating their safe-level or exposure using multiple age groups, not just infants. If excluding the source contribution factor for infants (as it seems follows OW's usual practice), it may be possible that an adult age class exposure could be higher (and safe level a bit lower), if the 20% source contribution estimate was considered only for the adult or non-infant age classes. We in RAD do not typically use any source contribution estimate for new chemicals; however, I figured I would point that out – that it's possible that the most conservative would be an adult or lactating woman with source contribution when compared against an infant without source contribution/assuming 100% from water.

Thanks,

Stephanie

Stephanie Sarraino  
EPA/OCSP/OPPT/RAD/AB2  
E: [Sarraino.stephanie@epa.gov](mailto:Sarraino.stephanie@epa.gov)  
T: 202-564-1183